

## Small Rural Atlantic Forest Remnants Might Store Significant Amounts of Carbon: An Example in Southeastern Brazil

Nina Caldeira<sup>1</sup> 

Kelly Antunes<sup>1</sup>

Walef Duarte Vieira<sup>1</sup>

Nathan Oliveira Barros<sup>1</sup>

Fabrcio Alvim Carvalho<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biodiversidade e Conservação da Natureza, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brasil.

### Abstract

Human activities in the tropics, particularly large-scale deforestation, significantly contribute to rising greenhouse gas emissions. The carbon storage capacity of the Atlantic Forest, specifically in seasonal forests, needs to be better understood. Therefore, we analyzed the aboveground carbon stock (AGC) in a semideciduous seasonal forest (SSF) remnant in southeastern Minas Gerais through comprehensive vegetation inventory and wood density sampling. The 20 species that counted for half of the total basal area corresponded to a surprising AGC of 58.05 Mg.ha<sup>-1</sup>. The AGC found is similar to other studies in second-growth SSF, especially the ones with no recent record of human disturbance. However, besides the natural process of increasing AGC in forests over the years, long-term decreasing trends in other forest ecosystems in Brazil have already been reported. Future long-term studies are crucial to understanding how the forest carbon stock will respond to the ongoing environmental and climate change scenario.

**Keywords:** AGC, wood density, semideciduous seasonal forest, allometric equation.

Human activities in the tropical region cause forest fragmentation and habitat loss, primarily through agriculture and livestock expansion (Joly et al., 2014; Arroyo-Rodríguez et al., 2017). Deforestation significantly contributes to increasing greenhouse gas emissions, reinforcing the global climate change scenario (DeFries et al., 2007). Addressing this, sustainability policies like REDD+ and Clean Development Mechanisms (CDM) focus on mitigating emissions by sequestering carbon in trees and soil (Lederer, 2012; UNFCCC, 2023).

The accurate measurement of the aboveground biomass (AGB) is essential for determining the aboveground carbon (AGC) stored in wood (Chave et al., 2009). Although there is already information on the capacity of carbon stocks in the world's forest biomes (Pan et al., 2011; Heinrich et al., 2023), tropical regions, such as the Atlantic Forest domain, lack accurate AGB and AGC estimations due to their taxonomic complexity and difficulty in obtaining reliable field data, essential for the calculations of allometric models (Chave et al., 2014). Furthermore, field work in tropical regions is hard and challenging (de Lima et al., 2022).

To obtain the most accurate estimates of AGB and AGC in a forest, considering the wood density of all occurring

species would be ideal. However, this is hardly achieved. The high richness and abundance of individuals within tropical forests and the high complexity of obtaining and processing wood samples are the main strains. Considering this scenario, the main approach to forest biomass and carbon estimations studies consists of using wood density values of the dominant species in the community (Brown et al., 1989; Baker et al., 2004; Saatchi et al., 2011; Flores and Coomes, 2011). However, most of the studies use wood density values from databases or literature, not considering that the wood density of a species may vary according to the different environmental and geographical factors that the species occur (Fearnside, 1997; Swenson and Enquist, 2007), leading to less accuracy of the AGB and AGC measurements in forests.

Little is known about the semideciduous seasonal forests (Atlantic Forest's largest remaining phytophysiognomy) capacity to store carbon, even more in the Zona da Mata of Minas Gerais (southeastern Brazil), which makes any carbon policy unfeasible. Some studies on carbon storage have been developed in this (Borges et al., 2020, 2021; Pyles et al., 2020; Costemalle et al., 2023) but consider secondary information for wood density from the literature database.

Here, we analyze the AGC stock of the tree component in a secondary forest remnant in the municipality of Ewbank da Câmara, Minas Gerais, based on the vegetation inventory and samples of wood density of the dominant species.

This study was carried out in a 30ha remnant forest in the NIASSA-UFJF (Núcleo de Integração Acadêmica para Sustentabilidade Socioambiental – Federal University of Juiz de Fora), a former livestock farm in the rural area of the municipality of Ewbank da Câmara – State of Minas Gerais (-21.581160, -43.568307). The studied area, now protected from direct anthropogenic disturbance, is a remnant of a second-growth forest, classified as a semideciduous seasonal montane Atlantic Forest (IBGE, 2012). Historically, the Atlantic Rainforest domain has a lengthy land-use background, mainly converting the natural forest landscape to agriculture and

pastures (Coelho et al., 2022). As a second-growth forest, the studied remnant carries that background, and satellite images show that its form and area have been the same since the 80s. Moreover, according to local people's information, the forest stands for more than 50 years and was used by former farmers for low-impact activities such as minor selective logging and hunting until the '00s.

We established 30 random permanent plots (15 x 12 m) corresponding to 0.5 ha in the remnant. Within each plot, we measured the diameter at breast height (DBH) and height of all living trees with DBH  $\geq$  5 cm. Trees were collected and taxonomically identified using the Leopoldo Krieger Herbarium (CESJ-UFJF) collection. The phytosociological structure was analyzed according to Kent & Coker (1992) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Phytosociological parameters and wood density of the 20 dominant species in the seasonal Atlantic Forest at Ewbank da Câmara, Zona da Mata, Minas Gerais State, Brazil. BA = basal area ( $\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ); AD= absolute density; RD= relative density (%); AF = absolute Frequency; RF = relative frequency (%); ADo= absolute dominance; RDo= relative dominance (%); IV= importance value;  $\text{WD}_{\text{mean}}$  = mean wood density ( $\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ).

Species	BA	AD	RD	AF	RF	ADo	RDo	IV	$\text{WD}_{\text{mean}}$
<i>Lacistema pubescens</i> Mart.	1.029	184	14.7	22	4.00	2.06	5.88	24.67	0.39
<i>Piptadenia gonoacantha</i> (Mart.) J.F.Macbr.	0.751	10	0.80	5	0.90	1.50	4.29	6.00	0.43
<i>Sorocea guilleminiana</i> Gaudich.	0.72	91	7.31	15	2.72	1.45	4.16	14.20	0.48
<i>Tachigali vulgaris</i> L.G.Silva & H.C.Lima	0.709	20	1.60	9	1.63	1.42	4.05	7.30	0.38
<i>Platypodium elegans</i> Vogel	0.554	7	0.56	7	1.27	1.10	3.16	5.00	0.56
<i>Xylopia brasiliensis</i> Spreng.	0.532	53	4.26	1	2.90	1.06	3.04	10.21	0.48
<i>Ocotea bicolor</i> Vattimo-Gil	0.491	35	2.81	14	2.54	0.98	2.80	8.16	0.45
<i>Inga laurina</i> (Sw.) Willd.	0.478	12	0.96	7	1.27	0.95	2.7	4.97	0.52
<i>Casearia sylvestris</i> Sw.	0.477	24	1.92	13	2.36	0.95	2.73	7.02	0.42
<i>Xylopia sericea</i> A.St.-Hil.	0.466	28	2.25	8	1.45	0.93	2.66	6.37	0.46
<i>Cecropia hololeuca</i> Miq.	0.455	5	0.40	4	0.72	0.910	2.60	3.73	0.37
<i>Lamanonia ternata</i> Vell.	0.437	3	0.24	3	0.54	0.87	2.50	3.28	0.50
<i>Didymopanax morototoni</i> (Aubl.) Decne. & Planch.	0.399	16	1.28	12	2.18	0.79	2.28	5.75	0.29
<i>Tovomitopsis paniculata</i> (Spreng.) Planch. & Triana	0.352	22	1.76	7	1.27	0.70	2.01	5.05	0.38
<i>Guapira opposita</i> (Vell.) Reitz	0.33	27	2.17	8	1.45	0.66	1.91	5.53	0.31
<i>Matayba elaeagnoides</i> Radlk.	0.30	17	1.36	10	1.81	0.60	1.74	4.92	0.31
<i>Miconia urophylla</i> DC.	0.303	46	3.6	10	1.81	0.60	1.73	7.25	0.40
<i>Machaerium nyctitans</i> (Vell.) Benth.	0.280	13	1.04	8	1.45	0.56	1.60	4.10	0.50
<i>Protium heptaphyllum</i> (Aubl.) Marchand	0.263	7	0.5	5	0.90	0.52	1.50	2.97	0.38
<i>Cupania vernalis</i> Cambess.	0.254	28	2.25	11	2.00	0.50	1.45	5.70	0.38
Total	<b>9.606</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>52.</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>35.27</b>	<b>19.21</b>	<b>54.89</b>	<b>142.2</b>	-
Other taxa	7.893	596	47.9	356	64.72	15.78	45.10	157.7	-
General total	<b>17.49</b>	<b>1244</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>34.99</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>300</b>	-

To assess the aboveground carbon (AGC) of the forest, we initially obtained wood density data for the species with higher basal area (BA) and importance value (IV). The selected species, corresponding to >50% of total BA and IV, had one to three tree individuals sampled based on absolute density. Palms, tree ferns, unidentified, or pending identification species were excluded, and the next identified species in ranking replaced them. AGB calculations excluded these taxa as well.

We analyzed wood density following Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., (2013). We used an increment borer (model Haglöf Sweden) inserted at 1.30 m DBH on the tree trunk to a depth equal to half the diameter to obtain the sample. Afterward, we sealed the hole to prevent timely contamination after the procedure.

We determined the saturated volume for each wood sample using the water displacement method. We dried the samples at an average temperature of 100°C for 72 hours to obtain the dry mass. To calculate the wood density value (g.cm<sup>-3</sup>) per species, we divided the dry mass value by the saturated volume.

For the AGB calculation, we used the global allometric equation proposed by Chave et al., (2014) for tropical forests:

$$AGB_{est} = \exp[-1.803 - 0.976E+0.976 \ln(\rho) + 2.673 \ln(D) - 0.0299 [\ln(D)]^2]$$

In which  $\rho$  is the wood density of each species, and D represents the diameter at the breast height of the individual. All the AGB values obtained for the individuals were summed and extrapolated to the community in Mg.ha<sup>-1</sup>. As AGC

concentration of the different parts of a tree is generally assumed to be 50% of the AGB (Brown, 1997), we multiplied the AGB value by 0.5 and obtained the estimation of AGC stored for the forest remnant.

We sampled 1244 trees with a total basal area of 17.50 m<sup>2</sup>.ha<sup>-1</sup> and density of 2888 ind.ha<sup>-1</sup>. We found 174 morphospecies distributed in 51 families and 85 genera. The 20 species that counted for 54.88% of the total basal area and 52.00% of the relative density are represented in Table 1.

The aboveground biomass (AGB) estimated for the forest remnant was 116.11 Mg.ha<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to an aboveground carbon (AGC) of 58.05 Mg.ha<sup>-1</sup>. The AGC estimated in our study was similar to other studies in second-growth semideciduous seasonal forests of Minas Gerais state (Table 2). Especially the ones with a similar background and no recent record of human disturbance (Torres et al., 2013; Gaspar et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2018). Moreover, our AGC estimation was higher than in studies carried out in forest edge and second-growth forests with a recent record of human disturbance (Ribeiro et al., 2010; Da Rocha et al., 2019; Coelho et al., 2022) (Table 2). However, our carbon stock was remarkably lower than that Coelho et al. (2022) found in an old-growth semideciduous seasonal forest in the Rio Doce basin. High AGC in old-growth forests is expected since these systems have a long time to grow and gain secondary slow-growth species that store more carbon in their structure. Early successional stage forests and recently disturbed forests are mainly composed of fast-growth pioneer species that store less carbon with their short life cycle and life strategy (Guariguata & Ostertag, 2001; Villa et al., 2019; Coelho et al., 2022).

**Table 2.** Carbon stock in the seasonal Atlantic Forest at Ewbank da Câmara, Zona da Mata, MG, and comparison with other seasonal forests at Minas Gerais State, Brazil. \*References: <sup>1</sup>Ribeiro et al. (2010); <sup>2</sup>TORRES et al. (2013); <sup>3</sup>GASPAR et al. (2014); <sup>4</sup>SILVA et al. (2018); <sup>5</sup>ROCHA et al. (2019); <sup>6</sup>COELHO et al. (2022).

Locality*	Carbon stock (Mg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Methodology	Area disturbance background
Ewbank da Câmara	58.05	Allometric equations (AGC) using WD collected from the study species	Secondary forest with low-impact activities in the past 50 years
Viçosa <sup>1</sup>	19.50	Allometric equations of tree volume using WD from specific bibliography	Former pasture in 30 years of natural regeneration
Viçosa <sup>2</sup>	46.76	Local allometric equations using the biomass of tree branches	Former pasture; selective logging, eucalyptus plantation, 20 years of natural regeneration
São João Evangelista <sup>3</sup>	58.91	Allometric equations of tree volume using WD from specific bibliography	Information not described by the authors
Itutinga <sup>4</sup>	55.91	Biomass allometric equations using WD collected from the study species	No record of recent human disturbance
Viçosa <sup>5</sup>	45.43 (forest edge) 63.71 (forest interior)	Local allometric equations using the biomass of tree branches	Information not described by the authors
Rio Doce Basin <sup>6</sup>	130.70 (old-growth) 18.20 (secondary)	Allometric equations (AGB) using WD from global database	Old-growth: remnant inside the Rio Doce State Park, protected since 1962 Second Growth: areas previously occupied by plantations, with at least 30 years of land use

Nevertheless, the carbon stock in our study is representative of medium successional stage second-growth forests, and with its growing and developing perspective over the years, we expect an increase in its biomass and carbon stock (Torres et al., 2013; Gaspar et al., 2014). However, we should see this carbon increment trend with parsimony. Although there is a tendency for carbon increase throughout the successional process in secondary forests, recent studies have shown a decline in long-term carbon sink in the Amazon secondary rainforests due to droughts, causing climate-induced tree mortality (Brienen et al., 2015; Hubau et al., 2020). In the Atlantic Forest domain, Maia et al. (2020), analyzing 32 seasonal forest sites monitored between 1987 and 2020, found a long-term decline in the carbon sink, and the driest and warmest sites have already moved from carbon sinks to carbon sources. On the other hand, Ferreira et al. (2023) highlight that AGB and carbon stocks can potentially increase in large fractions across the Atlantic Forest domain in the next few decades. The value of 58.05 Mg.ha<sup>-1</sup> stored carbon we found for the forest was surprising for a secondary small Atlantic Forest remnant. Although it has been around 50 years without considerable anthropogenic disturbance, it carries the background of the tremendous secular destruction of the region. Furthermore, the remnant can be used as a reference for carbon policies, such as REDD+ and CDM. However, in the current global warming scenario and climate change, long-term studies must be conducted in the studied forest over the coming decades to investigate its trends in carbon stocks, gains, losses, and net carbon sink.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Research Support Foundation of the State of Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG, projects APQ-01632-21, APQ-00455/21 and APQ-02520-22) and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq, project 423656/2021-0). The authors are grateful to the Graduate Program in Biodiversity and Nature Conservation, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), for the logistical support. FAC holds a CNPq productivity fellowship.

## SUBMISSION STATUS

Received: 31 Jul. 2024

Accepted: 5 Mar. 2025

Associate editor: Eduardo Vinicius da Silva 

## CORRESPONDENCE TO

**Nina Caldeira**

Rua José Lourenço Kelmer s/n, CEP 36036-900, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brasil.

e-mail: caldeira.nina@hotmail.com

## AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Nina Caldeira: Conceptualization (Equal), Data curation (Equal), Formal analysis (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Validation (Equal), Visualization (Equal), Writing - original draft (Equal), Writing - review & editing (Equal).

Kelly Antunes: Data curation (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Writing - review & editing (Equal).

Walef Duarte Vieira: Data curation (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Methodology (Equal), Writing - review & editing (Equal).

Nathan Oliveira Barros: Investigation (Equal), Visualization (Equal), Writing - review & editing (Equal).

Fabrcio Carvalho: Conceptualization (Equal), Funding acquisition (Equal), Investigation (Equal), Project administration (Equal), Resources (Equal), Supervision (Equal), Validation (Equal), Visualization (Equal), Writing - review & editing (Equal).

## REFERENCES

- Arroyo-Rodríguez V, Melo FPL, Martínez-Ramos M, Bongers F, Chazdon RL, Meave JA, et al. Multiple successional pathways in human-modified tropical landscapes: new insights from forest succession, forest fragmentation and landscape ecology research. *Biological Reviews* 2017; 92:326–40. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12231>.
- Baker TR, Phillips OL, Malhi Y, Almeida S, Arroyo L, Di Fiore A, et al. Variation in wood density determines spatial patterns in Amazonian forest biomass. *Global Change Biology* 2004;10:545–62. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00751.x>.
- Borges ER, Dexter KG, Bueno ML, Pontara V, Carvalho FA. The evolutionary diversity of urban forests depends on their land-use history. *Urban Ecosystems* 2020; 23:631–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-020-00938-y>.
- Borges ER, Dexter KG, Pyles MV, Bueno ML, Santos RM dos, Fontes MAL, et al. The interaction of land-use history and tree species diversity in driving variation in the aboveground biomass of urban versus non-urban tropical forests. *Ecological Indicators* 2021; 129:107915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107915>.
- Brienen RJW, Phillips OL, Feldpausch TR, Gloor E, Baker TR, Lloyd J, et al. Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink. *Nature* 2015; 519:344–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14283>.
- Brown S. Estimating biomass and biomass change of tropical forests: a primer. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 1997.
- Chave J, Coomes D, Jansen S, Lewis SL, Swenson NG, Zanne AE. Towards a worldwide wood economics spectrum. *Ecology Letters* 2009; 12:351–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01285.x>.
- Chave J, Réjou-Méchain M, Búrquez A, Chidumayo E, Colgan MS, Delitti WBC, et al. Improved allometric models to estimate the aboveground biomass of tropical trees. *Global Change Biology* 2014; 20:3177–90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12629>.

- Coelho AJP, Villa PM, Matos FAR, Heringer G, Bueno ML, de Paula Almado R, et al. Atlantic Forest recovery after long-term eucalyptus plantations: The role of zoochoric and shade-tolerant tree species on carbon stock. *Forest Ecology and Management* 2022;503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119789>.
- Costemalle VB, Candido HMN, Carvalho FA. An estimation of ecosystem services provided by urban and peri-urban forests: a case study in Juiz de Fora, Brazil. *Cienc Rural* 2023;53:e20210208. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20210208>.
- Da Rocha SJSS, Torres CMME, Jacovine LAG, Schettini BLS, Villanova PH, Rufino MPMX, et al. Efeito da borda na estrutura e estoque de carbono de uma Floresta Estacional Semidecidual. *Advances in Forestry Science* 2019;6. <https://doi.org/10.34062/afs.v6i2.7635>.
- De Lima RAF, Phillips OL, Duque A, Tello JS, Davies SJ, De Oliveira AA, et al. Making forest data fair and open. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2022; 6:656–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01738-7>.
- DeFries R, Achard F, Brown S, Herold M, Murdiyarso D, Schlamadinger B, et al. Earth observations for estimating greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation in developing countries. *Environmental Science & Policy* 2007; 10:385–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2007.01.010>.
- Fearnside PM. Wood density for estimating forest biomass in Brazilian Amazonia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 1997;90:59–87. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(96\)03840-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(96)03840-6).
- Ferreira IJM, Campanharo WA, Fonseca MG, Escada MIS, Nascimento MT, Villela DM, et al. Potential aboveground biomass increase in Brazilian Atlantic Forest fragments with climate change. *Global Change Biology* 2023:gcb.16670. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16670>.
- Flores O, Coomes DA. Estimating the wood density of species for carbon stock assessments. *Methods Ecol Evol* 2011;2:214–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00068.x>.
- Gaspar R de O, Castro RVO, Peloso RVD, Souza FC de, Martins SV. Análise fitossociológica e do estoque de carbono no estrato arbóreo de um fragmento de Floresta Estacional Semidecidual. *Ciência Florestal* 2014; 24:313–24. <https://doi.org/10.5902/1980509814569>.
- Guariguata MR, Ostertag R. Neotropical secondary forest succession: changes in structural and functional characteristics. *Forest Ecology and Management* 2001; 148:185–206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(00\)00535-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(00)00535-1).
- Heinrich VHA, Vancutsem C, Dalagnol R, Rosan TM, Fawcett D, Silva-Junior CHL, et al. The carbon sink of secondary and degraded humid tropical forests. *Nature* 2023; 615:436–42. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05679-w>.
- Hubau W, Lewis SL, Phillips OL, Affum-Baffoe K, Beeckman H, Cuní-Sanchez A, et al. Asynchronous carbon sink saturation in African and Amazonian tropical forests. *Nature* 2020; 579:80–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2035-0>.
- IBGE. Manual técnico da vegetação brasileira. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2012.
- Joly CA, Metzger JP, Tabarelli M. Experiences from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest: ecological findings and conservation initiatives. *New Phytologist* 2014; 204:459–73. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12989>.
- Kent M, Coker P. *Vegetation Description and Analysis: A Practical Approach*. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1992.
- Lederer M. REDD+ governance. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* 2012; 3:107–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.155>.
- Maia VA, Santos ABM, de Aguiar-Campos N, de Souza CR, de Oliveira MCF, Coelho PA, et al. The carbon sink of tropical seasonal forests in southeastern Brazil can be under threat. *Science Advances* 2020;6. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abd4548>.
- Pan Y, Birdsey RA, Fang J, Houghton R, Kauppi PE, Kurz WA, et al. A Large and Persistent Carbon Sink in the World's Forests. *Science* 2011;333:988–93. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201609>.
- Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Díaz S, Garnier E, Lavorel S, Poorter H, Jaureguiberry P, et al. New handbook for standardized measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. *Australian Journal of Botany* 2013; 61:167. <https://doi.org/10.1071/BT12225>.
- Pyles MV, Magnago LFS, Borges ER, van den Berg E, Carvalho FA. Land use history drives differences in functional composition and losses in functional diversity and stability of Neotropical urban forests. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* 2020; 49:126608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126608>.
- Ribeiro SC, Jacovine LAG, Soares CPB, Martins SV, Nardelli ÁMB, Souza AL de. Quantificação de biomassa e estimativa de estoque de carbono em uma capoeira da Zona da Mata Mineira. *Revista Árvore* 2010; 34:495–504. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-67622010000300013>.
- Saatchi SS, Harris NL, Brown S, Lefsky M, Mitchard ETA, Salas W, et al. Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011;108:9899–904. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019576108>.
- Silva HF, Ribeiro SC, Botelho SA, Liska GR, Cirillo MA. Biomass and Carbon in a Seasonal Semideciduous Forest in Minas Gerais. *Floresta e Ambiente* 2018;25. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2179-8087.050816>.
- Swenson NG, Enquist BJ. Ecological and evolutionary determinants of a key plant functional trait: wood density and its community-wide variation across latitude
- Torres CMME, Jacovine LAG, Soares CPB, Oliveira Neto SN, Santos RD, Castro Neto F. Quantificação de biomassa e estocagem de carbono em uma floresta estacional semidecidual, no Parque Tecnológico de Viçosa, MG. *Revista Árvore* 2013; 37:647–55. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-67622013000400008>.
- UNFCCC. Clean Development Mechanism (CDM). <https://cdm.unfccc.int/index.html> 2023. <https://cdm.unfccc.int/index.html> (accessed April 24, 2023).
- Villa PM, Martins SV, Rodrigues AC, Safar NVH, Bonilla MAC, Ali A. Testing species abundance distribution models in tropical forest successions: Implications for fine-scale passive restoration. *Ecological Engineering* 2019; 135:28–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLENG.2019.05.015>.